

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/815,315		04/01/2004	Michael A. Centanni	ST8803US.CIP	7251
22203	7590	09/20/2004		EXAMINER	
KUSNE		FFE CE SUITE 310	LEUNG, PHILIP H		
		ILLS ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HIGHLA	ND HEI	GHTS, OH 44143	3742		
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
Ostina Antina	10/815,315		CENTANNI, MICHAEL A.					
Office Action	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Philip H Leur	<u> </u>	3742				
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress			
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the ma the period for reply specified abo f NO period for reply is specified al Failure to reply within the set or ext	HIS COMMUNICATION. a under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 iling date of this communication. re is less than thirty (30) days, a reply oove, the maximum statutory period w ended period for reply will, by statute, er than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, y within the statutor vill apply and will ex , cause the applica	however, may a reply be ting of thirty (30) day pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ton to become ABANDONE	mety filed /s will be considered time! In the mailing date of this co ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on 25 Au	ugust 2004.						
2a) This action is FINAL		action is non	-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are 4a) Of the above clai 5)□ Claim(s) is/ar 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 8-14</u> 7)□ Claim(s) is/ar 8)□ Claim(s) are s	m(s) <u>2-7 and 15-25</u> is/are allowed. is/are rejected. e objected to.	withdrawn fro						
Application Papers								
'''	on <u>01 April 2004</u> is/are: a) test that any objection to the sheet(s) including the correct	accepted drawing(s) be tion is required	neld in abeyance. Se if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9		·					
2. Certified copie3. Copies of the application from		s have been on the second seco	received. received in Applicat s have been receiv 17.2(a)).	iion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PT 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent 3) Information Disclosure Stateme Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4-1-2004	Drawing Review (PTO-948) nt(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5	Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/815,315

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Figures 10-14, claims 1 and 8-14 in the reply filed on 8-25-2004 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 2-7 and 15-25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking

claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 8-25-2004.

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The title should reflect the use of "induction heating".

- 4. The drawings filed 4-01-2004 are acceptable.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takahashi et al (US 6,008,482) (cited by the applicant).

Takahashi shows a sterilizing vaporizer, comprising: a source of electromagnetic radiation (17, 59), and a heating apparatus for producing heat to vaporize a fluid passing therethrough, including: (a) an electrically non-conductive material (49, 30), and (b) an electromagnetically responsive material (18) (see Figures 1-14 and col. 7, line 31 – col. 14, line 36). The heating element is made of ferromagnetic metal, such as, nickel or steel (col. 7, line 54 – col. 8, line19 and col. 14, lines 31-36). The preamble "for vaporizing a fluid to form an antimicrobial vapor" is only statement of intended use and adds little patentability weights to the claimed device and the sterilizing in Takahashi is clearly the same (see col. 1, lines 5-14 and col. 2, lines 34-39).

7. Claims 1 and 8-10 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Virgin (US 4,341,936).

Virgin shows a vaporizer, comprising: a source of electromagnetic radiation (18, 19), and a heating apparatus for producing heat to vaporize a fluid passing therethrough, including: (a) an electrically non-conductive material (20, 70), and (b) an electromagnetically responsive material (33) (see Figures 1 and 6 and col. 3, line 16 – col. 4, line 26 and col. 5, lines 52-64). The preamble "for vaporizing a fluid to form an antimicrobial vapor" is only statement of intended use and adds little patentability weights to the claimed device as Virgin can be used for the same purpose.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,315

Art Unit: 3742

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

9. Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Takahashi et al (US 6,008,482) or Virgin (US 4,341,936), in view of Johnson, Jr. (US 6,023,054) or Monovoukas (US 5,378,879).

Either Takahashi or Virgin shows an induction vapor generating device having every feature and structure as claimed except for the exact material of the heating element. Johnson Jr. or Monoyoukas shows that it is well known in the art of induction heating devices to use ferromagnetic material in the form of flakes, fibers or particles incorporated in a nonconductive material to form an induction heating element (see Johnson, Jr., Figures 12-14 and col. 13, line 63 – col. 15, line 45 and Monovoukas, Figures 1 and 3 and col. 4, line 57 – col. 6, line 58). It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Takahashi or Virgin to choose any well known susceptor heating element with the use of particulate ferromagnetic material dispersed in a non-conductive material for better induction heating result, in view of the teaching of Johnson Jr. or Monovoukas. In regard to claims 11, Johnson teaches the material can also be ferrimagnetic instead of ferromagnetic (see col. 4, lines 2-7. In regard to claim 12, the use of ferroelectrics would have been a matter of engineering variations of all the materials shown in Johnson, Jr. or Monovoukas as the same is also a well known induction heatable material.

Art Unit: 3742

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Dalton (US 6,271,509) is further cited to show the use of ferroelectric material, ferromagnetic and/or ferrimagnetic material as a susceptor material (see col. 2, line44 – col. 3, line 6 and col. 5, lines 4-21).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip H Leung whose telephone number is (703) 308-1710.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on (703) 305-5766. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip H Leung Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

P.Leung/pl 9-15-2004